

PRIMER NOTE

Characterization of microsatellite loci in Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*)

RACHEL S. SCHWARTZ and BERNIE MAY

*Department of Animal Science, University of California – Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA***Abstract**

We characterized 23 polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellite loci for Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*). This species is extirpated in its native range, the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (California, USA), and is therefore targeted for recovery. A concerted effort is currently underway to re-establish self-sustaining populations of Sacramento perch in its native range. These microsatellites will be used to analyse the population structure of the species and, in conjunction with life history and physiological data, develop a comprehensive recovery plan.

Keywords: Centrarchidae, microsatellites, primers, Sacramento perch

Received 14 June 2004; revision received 16 July 2004; accepted 3 August 2004

The Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*) is the only sunfish (family Centrarchidae) native to the region west of the Rocky Mountains. Today it is extirpated from its native range, the Sacramento–San Joaquin watershed of California, although it was previously the dominant piscivore in the region (Moyle 2002). A few transplanted populations remain in other locations, including Pyramid Lake and Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge in Nevada, and Clear Lake Reservoir and Abbott's Lagoon in California. Another population may remain in Clear Lake, California, although no fish were caught in two attempts in 2003. Much of the potential habitat in the native range of Sacramento perch is now dominated by non-native centrarchids, such as largemouth bass and bluegill, or has been altered by changing water regimes in the region (Moyle 1995); these changes limit potential restoration sites for Sacramento perch. As a result, the Sacramento perch is listed as a species of special concern by the California Department of Fish and Game (Moyle *et al.* 1995) and is targeted for recovery in the Delta Native Fishes Recovery Plan (Moyle *et al.* 1996).

The microsatellite primers described here will be used to analyse population structure and the history of transplantation of Sacramento perch in order to design a recovery plan that retains the genetic diversity of the species. Primers designed for other species in the family Centrarchidae did not successfully amplify Sacramento perch DNA,

possibly due to the historic isolation of this species. Microsatellite data will be used to characterize the amount of genetic variation within each sampled population and the genetic distinctiveness among them. By combining the genetic data with the historical records of transplants we hope to identify several source populations with sufficient genetic variation for use in restoration efforts on behalf of this species.

Whole genomic DNA was extracted from caudal fins of juvenile Sacramento perch preserved in 95% ethanol using the DNeasy™ Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Four libraries enriched for tetranucleotide repeat motifs (TAGA)_n, (CAGA)_n, (TACA)_n and (CATC)_n were constructed from this DNA by Genetic Identification Services (Chatsworth, CA, USA) according to the protocol described in Meredith & May (2002). Following construction, libraries were screened for DNA fragments between 300 and 700 bp according to the following protocol. Recombinant clones were plated onto Luria–Bertani broth containing blue-gal/IPTG/ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Cells from isolated white colonies were heated to 100 °C for 10 min in a 10 µL polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mixture containing 3.4 µL water, 1× PCR buffer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 µL sucrose/cresol red loading buffer (10 mM cresol red in 20% sucrose 400), 1.5 mM each dNTP, 1.5 µM each primer and 0.42 mg/mL RNase A, overlaid with 22 µL of mineral oil. Polymerase 'hot start solution' containing 4.4 µL water, 1× PCR buffer (0.5 µL of 10× buffer) and 0.94 U BIOTAQ DNA polymerase (Bioline USA) was added and the insert was amplified for 21 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for

30 s, followed by 72 °C for 2 min and held at 5 °C. The PCR product was separated by electrophoresis on a 3% agarose gel and sized by comparison with Phi-X/*Hae*III standard. Colonies containing inserts in the desired size range were sequenced using the DYEnamic ET terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Amersham) on an ABI 377 sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Screening and sequencing were carried out by Genetic Identification Services. We eliminated duplicate sequences and designed primers using PRIMERSELECT 4.0 (DNASStar Inc.).

Primers were initially tested on a total of six individuals from three populations to determine whether the locus was polymorphic. Polymorphic loci were screened on a total of 34 individuals, including 27 from Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge (Fallon, NV, USA), two each from Pyramid Lake (NV, USA), Clear Lake Reservoir (CA, USA) and Lagoon Valley Reservoir (CA, USA), and one from Abbott's Lagoon (CA, USA). PCR was performed using 1× *Taq* DNA polymerase buffer B (Promega), 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM each dNTP (Promega), 1 µM of each primer and 0.38 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (Promega), with a total volume of 10 µL. PCR was carried out in an MJ Research PTC-100 under the following conditions: 94 °C for 2 min 30 s, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, followed by 72 °C for 5 min, and held at 4 °C.

Amplification products were mixed 1 : 1 with 98% formamide loading dye, denatured for 3 min at 95 °C, and cooled on ice. The amplification product/dye mixture was run on 5% denaturing acrylamide gels at 1900 V for 70 min. Products were detected using an agarose overlay and SYBR Green nucleic acid stain (Cambrex BioScience) following the procedure of Rodzen *et al.* (1998) and scanned with a Molecular Dynamics 595 fluorimager. Product sizes were estimated by comparison with a standard 400 bp ladder (The Gel Company).

Details of the 23 polymorphic loci are summarized in Table 1. All loci were tested for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium using the 27 individuals caught in Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge. Tests were performed using GDA (Lewis & Zaykin 2001) and the Fisher's exact test; missing data were discarded and 3200 shufflings were used. All loci were found to be in HWE for this population following a sequential Bonferroni correction ($P = 0.0023$) (Rice 1989) except locus *AimA120* ($P = 0.0003$); the deficiency in observed heterozygosity may reflect the presence of null alleles at this locus. Significant pairwise linkage disequilibrium was found only between loci *AimA106* and *AimC202* ($P < 0.001$) following a Bonferroni correction ($P = 0.000198$); genotypes were used for this test to prevent within locus disequilibrium from affecting the significance of disequilibrium between loci. The primers discussed here will be useful in analysing the population structure of Sacramento perch and collecting data to develop a restoration plan for this species.

Table 1 Summary data for microsatellites developed for Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*), including the repeat motif, size range of the alleles, number of alleles, expected and observed heterozygosities (H_E and H_O), number of individuals (of 27) that successfully amplified, and probability of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for each locus in the population in Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge

| Locus | Genbank Accession no. | Primer sequence | Repeat motif | Allele size range* | Allele size range† | No. of alleles* | No. of allelest | H_E^* | H_O^* | No. of individuals amplified | P (HWE) |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>AimA2</i> | AY643770 | F: ATACCGCAGATTGTGT R: TTAGGGTGGAGAAAG | (TCTA) ₂₄ | 188–244 | 188–244 | 4 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 26 | 0.563 |
| <i>AimA6</i> | AY643771 | F: GTCAAAGCCTTACTCACT R: ACACATAGATGCCAGAC | (ATAG) ₂₆ | 170–202 | 158–202 | 6 | 11 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 24 | 0.833 |
| <i>AimA11</i> | AY643772 | F: AGAATACACTGCAATAAAA R: GTCCCTGATCCTGAACA | (TAGA) ₂₄ | 196–272 | 186–272 | 6 | 10 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 23 | 0.004 |
| <i>AimA106</i> | AY643773 | F: CCTACGGTAATGTGAA R: TACTGAATATGAGAAATGTC | (ATCT) ₁₇ | 276–296 | 276–320 | 3 | 6 | 0.64 | 0.85 | 20 | 0.067 |
| <i>AimA108</i> | AY643774 | F: TGCTGCAITTAACCAAACTGT R: GCGGATGAGACCGTGTG | (ATCT) ₁₄ | 204–240 | 204–252 | 6 | 9 | 0.73 | 0.58 | 24 | 0.173 |
| <i>AimA117</i> | AY643775 | F: TGTTCCATTTAGCTGTTTTACCTG R: CACTGATGCTCCTGATTTCTATGA | (GATTA) ₂₂ | 156–196 | 152–196 | 4 | 10 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 25 | 0.631 |

Table 1 Continued

| Locus | Genbank Accession no. | Primer sequence | Repeat motif | Allele size range* | Allele size range† | No. of alleles* | No. of alleles† | H_E^* | H_O^* | No. of individuals amplified | P (HWE) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>AinA218</i> | AY643776 | F: CTCTGCCCAATCTACCAACAC R: AAAACAGAGCAGCAGACTATGAAT | (TAGA) ₉ | 270 | 262–278 | 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27 | 1.000 |
| <i>AinA120</i> | AY643777 | F: GTGCAACTTAAGACAAAACAA R: GTAAGAGCGCACGACAAA | (TCTA) ₁₂ (TCCA)(TCTA) ₉ (TA)(CTA) ₅ | 276–400 | 276–400 | 5 | 8 | 0.56 | 0.22 | 27 | 0.000‡ |
| <i>AinA203</i> | AY643778 | F: CTGCCTTTCACCCAATA R: CTCAGTTCAGCTCAGTTCC | (TC) ₃ (TATC) ₄ (TATA)(TATC) ₂₆ | 280–316 | 280–316 | 5 | 6 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 26 | 0.699 |
| <i>AinA207b</i> | AY643779 | F: CTCTGTGCTGTGACGGGACTGA R: ATGGCTTTTATTTGGGGTTTTCT | (TAGA) ₂₀ | 336–380 | 336–380 | 5 | 5 | 0.74 | 0.54 | 24 | 0.224 |
| <i>AinA212</i> | AY643780 | F: AGGCGAGCTTGACATTTTACC R: TCAGAAGGATTTGTTGGACTAT | (TCTA) ₁₁ ... (TGTC) ₃ | 296–340 | 296–340 | 8 | 10 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 26 | 0.318 |
| <i>AinA216</i> | AY643781 | F: ATCAAAGCAGACTCAAGACAG R: GTGCAGTAAAGGAAAATAGAC | (ATCT) ₁₄ | 154–190 | 154–190 | 4 | 5 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 27 | 0.862 |
| <i>AinC11</i> | AY643782 | F: GATGGGGCGACCTCAAAT R: CTAGTCCTCCCCCTCATCAGTCT | (ATAC) ₇ (CATC) ₉ | 280–300 | 280–308 | 3 | 7 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 27 | 0.715 |
| <i>AinC202</i> | AY643783 | F: TGAGGGGACACAGTTTAC R: GATTCAGTTCCTCGTTTCAT | (ATAC) ₁₄ | 228–316 | 220–316 | 3 | 8 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 26 | 0.403 |
| <i>AinD101</i> | AY643784 | F: CCCCCGCGACCTGTATG R: CACTGTTGCCCTGATGATGAAATG | (GATG) ₁₅ | 122–134 | 122–162 | 4 | 8 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 27 | 0.472 |
| <i>AinD106</i> | AY643785 | F: TTAACAACCCCTGAAGAAACC R: TGCGATGGACTGGCGACCTG | (CATC) ₁₂ | 226–238 | 226–242 | 3 | 4 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 27 | 0.548 |
| <i>AinD119</i> | AY643786 | F: TGTACACAGGATAAGCGTTGAC R: CCCCTCTGGCCTGTGGAATC | (GATG) ₁₁ | 178–194 | 178–202 | 4 | 6 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 27 | 0.500 |
| <i>AinD212</i> | AY643787 | F: GTGAGGGGCATTTTGGACA R: CATTTTGCACAGGCTACATT | (TCCA) ₁₆ | 296–318 | 276–370 | 4 | 8 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 26 | 0.522 |
| <i>AinC105</i> | AY643788 | F: ACGCTAGACGGCTGTTTCAC R: CATAGGGGAGATTTCCGGTCAA | (CATA) ₇ | 272–280 | 272–280 | 3 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 26 | 0.018 |
| <i>AinC212</i> | AY643789 | F: CTCGCTTTCACTTCTGCTCTG R: ACAACCACGCTCCATTTCACT | (GTAT) ₈ | 130–146 | 130–150 | 2 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 26 | 1.000 |
| <i>AinD202</i> | AY643790 | F: TGTATGGAGTGGAGTGGTTTATG R: TAGGTTGATGAGTGGTTGTTTGTGTC | (CATC) ₈ | 248–252 | 248–252 | 2 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 27 | 1.000 |
| <i>AinC203</i> | AY643791 | F: GTGCTGGATTTTACTGTGTCTGT R: TAGGGTGATGATGGATGGATGAAG | (ATGT) ₁₀ | 172–180 | 172–180 | 3 | 3 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 27 | 0.539 |
| <i>AinB202</i> | AY643792 | F: GACACCTGCCCGCCTCCTC R: GACTCCGCCACCACATCCT | (CTGT) ₆ | 216–220 | 216–224 | 2 | 3 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 26 | 1.000 |

*Stillwater population only.

†Total for the Stillwater population and selected individuals from other populations.

‡This locus shows departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction.

Acknowledgements

Support for this research came from grant ERP02-P34 from the California Bay-Delta Authority (CALFED) to develop strategies for re-establishing Sacramento perch in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta region. Special thanks go to Melinda Baerwald.

References

- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) *Genetic Data Analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data*, Version 1.0 (d16c). Free program distributed by the authors over the Internet from <http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html>.
- Meredith EP, May B (2002) Microsatellite loci in Lahontan tui chub, *Gila bicolor obesa*, and their utilization in other chub species. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **2**, 156–158.
- Moyle PB (1995) Conservation of native freshwater fishes in the Mediterranean-type climate of California, USA: a review. *Biological Conservation*, **72**, 271–279.
- Moyle PB (2002) *Inland Fishes of California*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Moyle PB, Pine R, Brown LR *et al.* (1996) *Recovery Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Native Fishes*. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- Moyle PB, Yoshiyama RM, Williams JE, Wikramanayake ED (1995) *Fish Species of Special Concern of California*. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- Rodzen JR, Agresti JJ, Tranah GJ, May BP (1998) Agarose overlays allow simplified staining of polyacrylamide gels. *Biotechniques*, **25**, 584.